LIST OF TABLES

TAE	BLE	age
1.1	Table of the Standard Model particles, their symbols, and their measured mass	7
1.2	Table of various final states for $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ lifetimes of interest in this analysis where X is any other particles produced in the original interaction (if any).	25
2.1	Summary of the Tevatron accelerator parameters during "Run II" data taking	46
2.2	Online event selection for the WNOTRACK trigger. The variables found in this table are described in greater detail in Appendix A	59
2.3	List of additional triggers accepted on the logical or of the WNOTRACK trigger. The variables found in this table are described in greater detail in Appendix A	60
2.4	Table summarizing the data set used in this analysis and luminosity over the various run ranges. The SAM $\#$ is used to label the subdivision of data from the various periods. The uncertainty on the luminosity is $\sim 6\%$ [56]	61
2.5	Table for the standard CDF jet identification variables. These are described in more detail in Reference [60]	63
2.6	The track identification requirements used to select tracks with a good timing measurement in addition to a good position measurement for use in the SpaceTime vertexing. These variables are described in more detail in Appendix A	65
2.7	Table outlining the definition of tracks that we veto against in the exclusive $\gamma + \not\!\!{E}_T$ final state. These variables are described in more detail in Appendix A	65

TAB	BLE	Page
2.8	The identification requirements used to identify photon candidates in the $\gamma + \not\!\!E_T$ analysis. Note, these cuts are the standard CDF definition for photons in addition to requiring PMT Aysmmetry, EMTiming variables, total CES Energy, a sliding CES Energy fraction and additional hadronic energy requirement as well as removing CES χ^2 . These variables are defined in more detail in Appendix A	68
2.9	The identification requirements used to identify electron candidates used throughout this thesis. Note, in addition to the standard CDF variables PMT Aysmmetry and EMTiming requirements have been added to ensure a good timing measurement is made. These variables are defined in more detail in Appendix A	69
2.10	Table of requirements to identify good SpaceTime vertices	70
2.11	Table of requirements to identify standard vertices which we use to veto events with evidence of activity at large collision z . This is discussed in greater detail in Section 5.5.3	71
2.12	MC samples used in this analysis [58]	74
3.1	Event reduction table summarizing the requirements used to generate the $e+E_T$ timing calibration sample. Note that the final number of events quoted here is after all calibrations have been applied	83
3.2	Table summarizing the calibration procedure for tracks, SpaceTime vertices, and EMTiming times. Each step in this procedure is iterated until the samples stabilize in terms of the magnitude of the corrections being generated and the track t_0 , vertex t_0 , and t_{corr} timing distributions fall within the tolerances defined for each	87
3.3	Table outlining the COT track calibration procedure	92
3.4	Table outlining the SpaceTime vertex calibration procedure	97
3.5	Table outlining the EMTiming time calibration procedure	101
4.1	List of cuts summarizing the non-collision background presample	107

TABLE		Page
4.2	Summary of requirements used to veto photon candidates as originating from cosmic rays. Note, the hadronic energy cut Had(E), CES(E), and the fraction of energy deposited in the CES (CES(E)/Total E) are included in the photon ID variable listed in Table 2.8. We include them here in order to explain why these non-standard cuts are present in the photon ID used in this analysis	. 111
4.3	Summary of requirements used to identify and veto photon candidates as originating from beam halo sources	. 115
5.1	Summary of the various SM backgrounds considered for the exclusive $\gamma_{delayed}+E_T$ final state. Each sample of events is simulated with MC with more details given in Table 2.12	. 125
5.2	List of selection requirements summarizing the exclusive $e+E_T$ presample. Note, we use two versions of these selection requirements, one with E_T^0 and E_T^0 at 30 GeV and another with E_T^0 and E_T^0 at 45 GeV. Note that the trigger, beam halo, and good run list requirements are only for data and not used on the MC	. 129
5.3	List of selection requirements summarizing the exclusive $\gamma + \not\!\!\! E_T$ presample. Note that the trigger, good run list, beam halo, and cosmics requirements are only for data and not used on the MC	. 130
5.4	Track identification variables for use in $e \to \gamma_{fake}$ veto. Note, these variables are defined in Appendix A.1	. 142
5.5	Exclusive $\gamma + \not\!\!{E}_T$ complete table of event selection requirements	. 150
5.6	Summary of the measured mean of the wrong vertex timing distribution, $\langle t_{corr}^{WV} \rangle$, from the double Gaussian fit for our six MC and two $e+\not\!\!E_T$ data control samples. Here the right vertex Gaussian is fixed with a mean =0.0 ns and a RMS =0.65 ns and the wrong vertex Gaussian RMS is fixed =2.0 ns while the mean and normalization are allowed to vary. These results are taken from Figure 5.14	. 151
5.7	Summary of the measured mean and RMS of the wrong vertex timing distributions, $\langle t_{corr}^{WV} \rangle$ for our six MC $\gamma + \cancel{E}_T$ and two $e + \cancel{E}_T$ control samples where we allow the mean and RMS of the wrong vertex Gaussian to float in the fit. These results are plotted in Figure 5.15	. 153

TABLE		Page	
6.1	Summary of the results shown if Figure 6.1 showing the predicted and observed ratio of the number of events in the signal region (2 ns $< t_{corr} < 7$ ns) to the number of events in the control region (-7 ns $< t_{corr} < -2$ ns) for our six MC and two $e+ \not\!\!E_T$ control samples. The observed wrong vertex mean here is measured using a double Gaussian fit to the data and assuming a right vertex mean $= 0.0$ ns and RMS $= 0.65$ ns as well as a wrong vertex RMS=2.0	. 157	
6.2	Summary of the results in Figure 6.5 which plots the relationship between the mean and RMS of the t^0_{corr} distributions for the six MC and two $e+\not\!\!\!E_T$ control datasets for sets of events where we require the events to pass all the requirements in Tables 5.5 and 5.2 but have no SpaceTime vertex reconstructed. The $< t^0_{corr} >$ and RMS is found by fitting the no vertex corrected time (t^0_{corr}) distribution with a single Gaussian from -5 ns $< t^0_{corr} < 3$ ns where the Gaussian RMS and mean are allowed to vary to find the best fit	. 166	
6.3	Summary of the two different measurements of the wrong vertex mean using the six MC backgrounds control samples, selected using Table 5.5, and the two $e+E_T$ data control samples, selected using Table 5.2. Here we obtain the wrong vertex mean by fitting the corrected time (t_{corr}) distribution with a double Gaussian function from -10 ns $< t_{corr} < 10$ ns where the right vertex Gaussian mean $= 0.0$ ns and RMS $= 0.65$ ns and the wrong vertex Gaussian RMS $= 2.0$ ns and the mean is allowed to vary to find the best fit. The no vertex mean is found by fitting the no vertex corrected time (t_{corr}^0) distribution with a single Gaussian from -5 ns $< t_{corr}^0 < 3$ ns where the Gaussian RMS $= 1.6$ ns and the mean is allowed to vary to find the best fit. These results are plotted in Figure 6.6	. 168	
6.4	Summary of the results shown in Figure 6.7 of our method for the SM MC samples and our $e+E_T$ data "no vertex" control samples. We find the predicted ratio using that measured mean as well as the observed ratio of the number of events in the signal region to the control region agree to within errors	170	

TAE	TABLE	
7.1	Event reduction table for the exclusive $\gamma + \not\!\!E_T$ search. The last selection requirement breaks the events into two samples: 1) Events that do have a reconstructed vertex and 2) Events that do not have a good SpaceTime vertex ("no vertex sample"). The sample of events that do have a reconstructed vertex are the events in which we perform our search for $\gamma_{delayed} + \not\!\!E_T$ while the "no vertex sample" is used to estimate the mean of the wrong vertex as described in Section 6.2.	
7.2	Summary of the data-driven background measurements used for the exclusive $\gamma + E_T$ sample prediction	. 176
7.3	Summary of the data-driven background prediction and observation for the exclusive $\gamma_{delayed} + E_T$ sample	. 177
7.4	List of changes and the impacted quantites in the analysis since the original 2008 $\gamma + \cancel{E}_T$ preliminary result	. 181
7.5	Comparison of the new results against the original 2008 $\gamma + E_T$ preliminary result.	. 184